

EA



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/684,403 | 10/04/2000 | Tom Van Horn | MCTA-002/03US | 2392 |

758 7590 09/15/2005

FENWICK & WEST LLP
SILICON VALLEY CENTER
801 CALIFORNIA STREET
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

SMITH, JEFFREY A

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3625

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/684,403 | | Applicant(s) VAN HORN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Jeffrey A. Smith | | Art Unit 3625 | |
| | | | | |

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey A. Smith.

(2) Colleen Chien.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NONE

Claims discussed:
NONE

Prior art documents discussed:
NONE


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 Jeffrey A. Smith
 Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

KC

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner confirmed that the Office had received adequate evidence of the timely filing of a submission filed with a certificate of mailing dated 10/29/04. Accordingly, the RCE filed 11/3/04 is now found to be in compliance with Rule 114. Ms. Chien was informed that the case is in an active status and that the case will be taken up by the Examiner for consideration in due course..